

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 02/04/2024  
fromMajella Keane I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000  
be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 18/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

S.A.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please prepare BP \_\_\_\_\_ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached  
submission

to: \_\_\_\_\_ Task No: \_\_\_\_\_

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP \_\_\_\_\_

EO: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AA: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please treat correspondence received on 02/04/2024 as follows:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____<br>2. Acknowledge with BP <u>23</u><br>3. Keep copy of Board's Letter <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____<br>2. Keep Envelope: <input type="checkbox"/><br>3. Keep Copy of Board's letter <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--|

Amendments/Comments Majella Keane response to S.13112/03/2024 02/04/24 ✓

## 4. Attach to file

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| (a) R/S <input type="checkbox"/>            | (d) Screening <input type="checkbox"/>    |
| (b) GIS Processing <input type="checkbox"/> | (e) Inspectorate <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) Processing <input type="checkbox"/>     |   |

RETURN TO EO ☐

	Plans Date Stamped <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date Stamped Filled in <input type="checkbox"/>
EO: <u>Pat B</u>	AA: <u>Anthony Mc Nally</u>
Date: <u>18/04/2024</u>	Date: <u>25/04/2024</u>

## Stephen Sutton

---

**From:** Bord  
**Sent:** Tuesday 2 April 2024 10:57  
**To:** Appeals2  
**Subject:** FW: Case Number ABP- 314485-22  
**Attachments:** Keane, Majella\_20240402103355.pdf

---

**From:** Majella Keane <majella\_keane2@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 2, 2024 10:41 AM  
**To:** Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>  
**Subject:** Case Number ABP- 314485-22

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please find letter attached re above case no.

Kind regards  
Majella Keane



Majella Keane  
13 Wafre Lodge  
Ashbourne  
Co. Meath  
A84 TR92

An Bord Pleanála  
64 Marlborough St.  
Dublin 1  
D01 V902

**RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport**

2 April 2024

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

1. As noted, the extension of the noise contours and revision of flights paths supports my observation in December of the adverse effects of aircraft noise where none previously existed prior to February 2023. Aircraft are frequent, low flying and flights commence and end outside the restriction proposed by the 2007 planning permissions.
2. The noise contours having been extended substantially from what was previously proposed and the inclusion of a significant number of dwellings within the noise eligibility contours is an indication of the adverse effects of aircraft noise from the revised flights paths.
3. It's noted that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under and around the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

Majella Keane  
13 Wafre Lodge  
Ashbourne  
Co. Meath  
A84 TR92

4. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence, but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does not meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
5. Why have the noise contours grown and why have the flight paths been revised as to what was proposed in the 2007 planning permission. Residents have independently carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those predicated by the DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded, and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why have they not submitted actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
6. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight paths over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the flight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
7. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
8. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,



Majella Keane

Address: 13 Wafre Lodge, Ashbourne, Co. Meath, A84 TR92